

Housing Authority All County I and II
(both projects consolidated in 2016):

2017 Scorecard

2017 HUD- CoC Program Competition SCORECARD
Renewal Permanent Housing Projects
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Rehousing (RRH)

PROJECT NAME: Housing Authority Consolidated (All County I and II) PROJECT TYPE: PSH X RRH

Scoring Criteria	Description	Data Source	Possible Score	Project Score	Reviewer's Comments	Agency Comment/Explanation
Performance Measures (15)						
1. Housing Stability (Obtain and maintain permanent housing)	PSH - Measures the percentage of project participants who remain housed or move on to other permanent housing. RRH - Measures the number of clients that move into permanent housing.	APR Q27-29 HMIS Move-in Date	80% or above = 5 70% - 79% = 4 60% - 69% = 3 50% - 59% = 2 40% - 49% = 1 Below 40% = 0	5		
2. Employment and Income Growth (20%)	Measures the percentage of adult participants who maintain or increase their income level through employment/earned income over the program year.	APR Q24b3	100% of clients moved to PH = 5 90% moved to PH = 4 80 % moved to PH =3 70 % moved to PH =2 60% moved to PH = 1 50% and below = 0	N/A		
3. Bed Utilization PH (80%)	Measures occupancy rates beds/units supported by the project.	APR Q8-11	20% or higher = 5 15% - 19% = 4 10% - 14% = 3 5% - 9% = 2 1% - 4% = 1 No increase = 0	5		
3. Bed Utilization PH (80%)	Measures occupancy rates beds/units supported by the project.	APR Q8-11	80% or above = 5 70% - 79% = 4 60% - 69% = 3 50% - 59% = 2 40% - 49% = 1 Below 40% = 0	5		
Access to Mainstream Benefits and Supportive Services for Participants (5)						
4. Transportation Assistance	Measures whether the project provides regular or as needed transportation assistance to mainstream and community resources, including appointments, employment training, educational programs, or jobs.	Monitoring Report	Project provides transportation assistance = 1 Project does not provide transportation assistance = 0	0		

Scoring Criteria	Description	Data Source	Possible Score	Project Score	Reviewer's Comments	Agency Comment/Explanation
Program Compliance						
Compliance with Program and Financial Management (30 points)						
10. Annual Performance Reports (APR)	Measures whether projects submit their APR for review to DPSS within 60 days after the project ends.	Monitoring Report	Project submitted APR for review to DPSS within 60 days after project ends = 5 Project submitted APR after 60 days the project ends = 0	0	Late APR submissions to DPSS 2015 and 2016.	
11. Monitoring Reports	Measures whether the project has had any findings during the 12-month period based on the most recent monitoring report by the Collaborative Applicant (DPSS).	Monitoring Report	No Finding = 5 With Finding/s = 0	0	Findings: Reassessment and client service plan, participation of homeless individuals, non-compliance with corrective action plans, timeliness report, HMIS data quality.	
Fiscal Compliance						
12. Monthly Submission of Claims	Measures whether the project submits claims on a monthly basis as required. Evaluated during most recent 12-month grant period.	MIRU Monitoring Report and Monthly Claims Report	No claims late = 5 1 claim late = 4 2 claims late = 3 3 claims late = 2 4 claims late = 1 5 or more claims late = 0	3	2 late claims in last full grant period	
13. Recaptured Funds (unspent funds)	Measures whether the project returned any funds in the last 3 years (completed). Based on percentage of total project budget. Note: Any unspent funds are subject to being reallocated regardless of amount or score.	HUD Closeouts Report	5% or less = 5 6%-10% = 4 11%-20% = 3 21% - 30% = 2 30% - 49% = 1 50% or more = 0	3	Recaptured funds 2014 & 2015.	
14. Cost-effective Case management and other supportive services	Measures percentage of budget costs in case management and other supportive services. The CoC does not have written standards on this, so cost effectiveness will be based on an average of the total cost per bed for all projects in that component. The Review Panel and BOG will factor in projects that serve high barrier clients with increased cost for supportive services.	Fiscal Report	No more than 10% higher average cost (per bed) = 5 No more than 15% higher average cost (per bed) = 4 No more than 20% higher average cost (per bed) = 3 No more than 25% higher average cost (per bed) = 2 No more than 30% higher average cost (per bed) = 1 Higher than 30% of average cost (per bed) = 0	5	Note: HUD provides guidance and recommendations that supportive services/case management costs for PSH and RRH projects should not be higher than about 25% of the total project budget.	
15. Match Requirement	Measures the percentage of match requirement (25%) as part of the overall project budget and documentation.	Fiscal Report	Meets 25% match requirement = 5 Does not meet = 0	5		

Scoring Criteria	Description	Data Source	Possible Score	Project Score	Reviewer's Comments	Agency Comment/Explanation
21. Participation of homeless through employment or volunteerism	Measures whether the agency, to the maximum extent practicable, involves homeless individuals and families through employment, volunteer services; or otherwise in constructing, rehabilitating, maintaining, and operating the project, and in providing supportive services for the project.	Letter of Intent	Yes = 1 No = 0	1		
22. Engagement in religious activities	Measures whether the agency uses direct program funds to support or engage in any explicitly religious activities, including activities that involve overt religious content, such as worship, religious instruction, or proselytization, or any manner prohibited by law.	Letter of Intent	Agency does not use CoC program funds for religious activities = 1 Agency uses CoC program funds for religious activities = 0	1		
23. Involuntary family separation	Measures whether the project accepts all families with children under age 18 without regard to the age of any child.	Letter of Intent	Project does not separate families = 1 Project does separate families = 0	1		
24. Discrimination Policy	Measures whether the project does not discriminate for project entry.	Letter of Intent	Project does not discriminate against age, color, disability etc. = 1 Project does discriminate against age, color, disability etc. = 0	1		
25. CoC Participation	Measures whether the agency participates as a CoC member in good standing. As required in the Board of Governance Charter for the Continuum of Care, each agency must have a designated representative who is required to attend fifty percent plus one (50% + 1) CoC meetings per year.	CoC attendance records	Agency/Project has a designated representative attend at least 50% + 1 meeting per year = 5 points Agency/Project does not have a designated representative attend at least 50% + 1 meetings per year = 0 points	5		
Housing Quality Standards (HQS)						
26. HQS Compliance	Measures whether the project meets applicable housing standards.	Monitoring Report Copy of HQS	Project meets HQS standards = 5 Project does not meet HQS standards = 0	5		
27. HQS Corrective Action Plan	Measures whether project received a corrective action plan in the last two years.	Monitoring Report	No corrective action plan = 5 Received corrective action plan = 0	5		

Total Possible Score: 100

Total Project Score: 71