



**County of Riverside Continuum of Care
and Board of Governance
CoC Membership Meeting**

**August 26, 2015
10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.
James A. Venable Community Center
50390 Carmen Ave., Cabazon, 92230**

Chair: David Leahy **Co-chair:** Linda Barrack **Secretary:** Susan Larkin

1. **Call to Order:** Welcome & Introductions
2. **Approval of Minutes:**
 - a. CoC Membership Meeting, June 24, 2015
3. **New Business:**
 - a. Board of Governance: Request to keep current BOG in place

Motion: to keep the current Board of Governance in place to approve any recommendations made by the Independent Review Panel for the 2014 HUD NOFA Application.

- b. CoC Written Standards - **Donyielle Holley, CoC Planner**
 - c. Coordinated Entry Workgroup Progress Update - **Steve Falk, CES Workgroup Chair**
 - d. NAEH Washington DC Conference Update - **David Leahy**
4. **Standing Items:**
 - a. Gaps in Service Update - **Linda Barrack**
 - b. PIT Count Presentation - **Donyielle Holley**
 - c. BOG Membership Recruitment/Workgroup Update - **Steve Falk**
 - d. 2015 HUD NOFA Update - **Jill Kowalski**
5. **Consent Items:**
 - a. Committee Reports:
 - b. APRs: 1) JFS Desert Vista PSH; 2) Operation SafeHouse TH; 3) Path of Life TH
6. **Riverside County CoC Member Comments**
7. **Call for Agenda Items for the Next Meeting**
8. **Announcements**
9. **Next Meeting:** October 28, 2015, 10 am – 12 pm, James A. Venable Community Center

Adjournment

Item 3.a, New Business:

At the June CoC meeting, the membership approved keeping the current BOG in place until Aug. 27 so the current board could act on the 2015 HUD NOFA. We anticipated that the NOFA would be released in July. **As of Aug. 15, it has not been released.**

Even if it is released this week, there will not be enough time for the review panel to make any additional recommendations to approve at the Aug. 27 meeting. This means that if the new BOG is put in place on Aug. 27, they would need to make the decision at an emergency meeting to be called after the panel gives its recommendations. This would be problematic because:

- We would need to ask most of the new BOG members to make decisions about placing projects in Tier 1/Tier 2, reallocation, etc., when they have not been involved in the process and are not even familiar with the CoC and HUD requirements, etc.
- We would need to call a special BOG meeting on short notice and given the schedule of the new BOG, this would most likely be impossible to get a quorum together.
- It would mean that we would have two different BOGs making decisions on the 2015 NOFA.

Based on this, a majority of the current Board of Governance agreed this week that the current Board of Governance should remain in place until the final decisions are made for the 2015 HUD Application.